Case 3:23-cv-03417-VC Document 543-11 Filed 04/17/25 Page 1 of 9

GHAJAR EXHIBIT 63

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

```
Page 1
            UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
          NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
              SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
Richard Kadrey, et al.,
Individual and Representative )
Plaintiffs,
                                     CASE NO.
                                      3:23-cv-03417-VC
         -against-
Meta Platforms, Inc.,
    Defendant.
            *** HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ***
               ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
            VIDEO-RECORDED DEPOSITION OF
                  MELANIE KAMBADUR
                    Cooley, LLP
                  55 Hudson Yards
             New York, New York 10001
                     09/17/2024
                  9:07 a.m. (EDT)
           REPORTED BY: MONIQUE CABRERA
               DIGITAL EVIDENCE GROUP
            1730 M Street, NW, Suite 812
               Washington, D.C. 20036
                   (202) 232-0646
```

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

Page 3	32
1 MR. WEINSTEIN: Object to form.	
2 Vague.	
3 A. What do you mean by "important"?	
4 BY MR. YOUNG:	
5 Q. Have you selected training data that	
6 is fed into a model?	
7 A. By "selected" do you mean	
8 specifically what yeah.	
9 What do you mean by "selecting"?	
10 Q. So does the training data used to	
develop a model affect how a model would perform?	
12 A. Yes.	
13 Q. So you wouldn't feed just any data	
into a model, use my data to train a model,	
15 right?	
16 Someone could.	
17 Q. But you wouldn't, right?	
18 I guess it dep would depend on	
the purpose. But generally, we do select	
specific data, yes.	
Q. High quality data?	
22 A. Generally, yes.	

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

Γ	Trigrily Communication According Lyes only
	Page 33
1	Q. What do you what do you
2	understand me to mean when I say "high quality
3	data"?
4	A. Actually, I'm not 100 percent sure
5	what you mean by high quality data.
6	Q. Is "high quality data" a term you
7	use in your work as AI developer?
8	A. Yes, we have described data as high
9	quality levels. But I'm not sure if you have the
10	same definition as me.
11	Q. Okay. What is your definition of
12	high quality data?
13	A. Generally, data which at least
14	small-scale experiments, has been shown to
15	improve some metric that we're targeting for
16	downstream model performance.
17	Q. So would high quality data generally
18	be accurate data?
19	A. It could be.
20	Q. Free of errors, would high quality
21	data be free of errors?
22	A. Probably. It depends on your goals.
1	

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

	Page 35
1 training	g large language models?
2 4	A. Not directly. I have managed people
3 who were	e doing the evaluation and I sometimes
4 reviewed	d their evaluations.
5 Q	2. So by "review evaluations," do you
6 mean som	neone who reported to you, would evaluate
7 a datase	et for use in training and then you would
8 say yes,	no, this would be something we would
9 include?	
10 A	A. I did not solely make the yes/no
11 decision	ns. The first part of that statement,
12 that peo	ople who report to me would run
13 experime	ents and evaluate models is correct.
14 Ç	Q. Who else so you said you were not
15 the pers	son who solely made the decision to
16 include	certain datasets.
17	Who else would have input into that
18 decision	1?
19 A	Generally, we looked at the actual
20 perf	- the performance of different experiments
21 and for	which like, are you asking about a
22 specific	c model?

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

	Page 36
1	Q. No. Just generally.
2	A. Generally, members of the research
3	team would look at the experimental outcomes.
4	Q. By "experimental outcomes," these
5	are quantifiable results, right?
6	A. They are quantitative, but sometimes
7	noisy metrics that give us an indication of
8	potential performance.
9	Q. Are these experiments standardized?
10	MR. WEINSTEIN: Object to form.
11	Vague.
12	You can answer.
13	A. I would say that we repeatedly ran
14	similar experiments but we changed the exact
15	experimental process from time to time.
16	BY MR. YOUNG:
17	Q. Are you familiar with the Llama
18	models?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. What are they?
21	A. They are a series of large language
22	models that we trained at Meta.

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

Page 11
1 dataset that Meta had, but had not processed yet?
2 A. I don't recall when we processed it.
3 Q. Now, do you recall testifying
4 earlier that Libgen was used to pre-train
5 Llama 3, Llama 3 series of models?
6 A. Yes. At least a subset of Libgen
7 was used.
8 Q. But it wasn't used to pre-train the
9 Llama 2 models or the Llama 1 models, right,
10 according to your testimony today?
11 A. Yes, that's correct, to my
12 knowledge.
13 Q. Now, do you remember if Meta had the
Libgen dataset before it was processed and
including in the Llama 3 models?
MR. WEINSTEIN: Object to form.
17 A. Of course we had to have the dataset
18 before including it in the model, yes.
19 BY MR. YOUNG:
20 Q. So that means that there was a
decision made to incorporate Libgen, process
Libgen as pre-training date for Llama 3, correct?
Lingen as pre-craiming date for Liama 3, coffect;

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

	Page 118
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Do you know who made that decision?
3	A. I don't think there was a
4	singular there was not a singular person.
5	Q. But a decision was made, correct?
6	A. To include Libgen into Llama 3?
7	Yes.
8	Q. Do you remember why that decision
9	was made to include Libgen into Llama 3?
10	A. As with all of our datasets, we had
11	run some small-scale experiments that indicated
12	it would positively improve our benchmarks on a
13	larger model. And we had gone through our legal
14	and privacy review procedures.
15	Q. Did anyone express to you any
16	concern with using the Libgen data source as
17	pre-training material?
18	A. I recall people asking if it was
19	approved.
20	Q. Did you express any concern about
21	using the Libgen data source as pre-training
22	material?

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

	Page 337
1	CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER NOTARY PUBLIC
2	I, Monique Cabrera, the officer
3	before whom the foregoing deposition was
4	taken, do hereby certify that the foregoing
5	transcript is a true and correct record of
6	the testimony given; that said testimony was
7	taken by me stenographically and thereafter
8	reduced to typewriting under my direction;
9	and that I am neither counsel for, related
10	to, nor employed by any of the parties to
11	this case and have no interest, financial or
12	otherwise, in its outcome.
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
14	set my hand this 17th day of September, 2024.
15	
16	
17	m . l .
18	Morique Cobrera
19	MONIQUE CABRERA
20	Notary Public in and for the State of New York
21	County of Suffolk
	My Commission No.
22	Expires: 06/12/2026